

Amendments of the Specification:

48 Please replace the ninth paragraph (two lines line) of the Brief Description of the
50 drawings to read as follows:

52 FIGS. 14 through 18 show a preferred embodiment using a moving modulator.

54 Please replace the section of the specification beginning near the bottom of
55 page 12 entitled "Retro-Reflector Lens Design" (20 paragraphs) with the
56 following 20 paragraphs. No new matter was added. The purpose of the
57 change is to change "FIGS. 14A and 14B" to -- FIGS. 14 and 15 --. Marked up
58 pages are attached to show the changes.

60 Retro-Reflector Lens Design

The preliminary retroreflector design is based on a refractive optical system with a curved mirror at the focal plane, as shown in FIG. 14 and 15. The modulator is placed near the focal plane, so all the incoming light is concentrated into a small area. The instantaneous field of view of the optical system is determined by the modulator diameter and the lens focal length.

66 Assuming a 6 mm diameter modulator, and an 86 mm focal length, the
68 instantaneous field of view is 4°. A reasonable focal ratio of F/2.4 leads to an
input aperture of 36 mm. This is much larger than any cat's-eye design with a
70 similar focal length. The field of regard for this simple doublet with a primary mirror
is 120 degrees. FIGS. 14 and 15 show two ray bundles, one at 60 degrees off-
72 axis, and one on-axis, are shown. The modulator near the mirror is not shown
in the FIG. 14 view.

74 We have designed a preliminary system to show the essential details. The
76 simple doublet shown in FIGS. 14 and 15 provides a diffraction-limited retro-
reflection over a field larger than about 6 degrees (or 12 degrees with a 25 mm
78 aperture). This field can be increased to about 120 degrees by adding additional
optical surfaces and selecting appropriate optical glasses. For example, some
80 fisheye lenses for 35 mm cameras have been designed with fields of view
exceeding 180 degrees, all while maintaining color correction over the entire

82 visible spectrum. The lens required here differs in that only monochromatic
84 color correction is required, freeing up those surfaces and materials to aid in
86 improving the wave front quality. In addition, instead of requiring a flat focal
88 plane, we need a curved surface that is normal to the incoming beam. We can
90 use an aspheric surface for this optic, so the lens focal length does not have to
92 be fixed across the entire field of view. Finally, we do not require a fixed field
94 stop; we will optimize the design to use as large an aperture as possible. The
96 modulator position near the mirror is shown in FIG. 14 by a small black bar.
98 Note that vignetting is minimized by keeping the bar parallel to the mirror
100 surface. Not shown is the glass layer in front of the reflective surface.

102 The optimization merit function, which the ray tracing program automatically
104 minimizes to find the best optical solution, will be weighted to emphasize the
106 edge of the field of view. This is where the communication range is the longest
108 (20 km) and the effective aperture is the smallest. For light coming in on-axis,
110 the maximum range is only 10 km, so the retro-reflected signal is roughly 16
112 times stronger. If the optical design shows good performance on-axis, this will
result in good communication under more adverse conditions. This type of
tradeoff will be studied during lens optimization.

102 While an all-spherical design is desired, it might be necessary to place a simple
104 asphere on some lens surfaces. Molded plastic or glass lenses are now
106 routinely used in the commercial world, so this aspect should not restrict the
108 design. The goal is to produce a design with the largest possible aperture, but
110 some tradeoffs with manufacturability must always be considered.

108 The design should also be rugged and work over a wide temperature range. The
110 spacing between the lenses and the mirror is critical. For best performance in
112 this retro-reflecting system, the focus error should be on the order of the
wavelength times the square of the focal ratio, or about 10 microns. This can
easily be held with the appropriate spacer materials; Invar or silica, for example,

are adequate. Depending on the actual glasses used in the final design, their
114 effect on the focal length might also have to be considered in the overall
compensation equation. Passive thermallization is always desired, but since
116 some feedback from the airborne interrogator is possible, active thermallization
might also be considered to further enhance performance.

118
Finally, if it is necessary to protect the reflecting surface from dust and
120 contamination that might reduce retro-reflective efficiency, two alternatives are
presented. The baseline approach is to make the mirror a second surface
122 Mangin type. The mirror is not too large, so that BK7 can be used as a
substrate, and a reflective coating applied to the back side. The reflection then
124 goes through the glass, and any dust or contaminants on the first surface would
be out of focus. Since that refractive surface is close to focus, its shape is not
126 too critical, and a simple concentric surface should be acceptable. The back
side reflector could be a gold coating, protected with a lacquer layer.

128
The alternative design, if the mirror is made of some low-expansion glass that
130 does not transmit well, is to use a hard dielectric first surface mirror and use an
anti-static type brush around the modulator aperture to keep the surface swept
132 clean. As the modulator moves around the surface, the soft brush would sweep
away dust, assuring that the reflection is always perfect.

Modulator Design

136 Applicants' preferred modulator for the retro-reflectors shown in FIGS. 2 and 14
are 6 mm diameter modulator according to the description in the '299 patent
138 referred to in the Background Section and incorporated herein by reference.
These modulators are available from the Naval Research Laboratories. Other
140 modulators may be used. The key requirements include a small package, low
power consumption, and 45 MHz modulation capability.

Applicants' preferred embodiments includes optical tracking. Assuming the
144 modulator diameter is 6 mm, the tracking requirements are easy to meet. An
error of 1 mm would cause a negligible decrease in signal, so a precision
146 tracking system is not required. The tracking camera presented in the next
section can handle an angular tracking motion of 24 degrees per second. On the
148 mirror surface, this corresponds to traversing the mirror surface in 5 seconds.
For the mirror shown here, the maximum velocity would be only 28 mm/sec.
150 Normally, the motion would be much slower.

152 Two mechanical designs have been considered: one uses cables to directly pull
the modulator on hinged rails placed near the mirror; the alternate design uses
154 magnetic coupling through the mirror to pull the modulator anywhere on the
surface, without rails.

156 The baseline design uses rails to guide the modulator, as shown in FIG. 16. The
158 modulator is shown as a 50 mm wide board, but the actual active aperture
would only be large enough for the optical beam to pass through. Each end of
160 the board is attached to cables connected to miniature motors set up like the
mechanisms on common ink jet printers or older X-Y chart recorders. To allow
162 access to any part of the mirror's surface, each end of the rail must be hinged.
(Both rails are actually split into two parts so that the optical beam can pass
164 between them.) The orthogonal rail will then be free to drive the modulator
along the spherical surface. Since accuracy is not a major concern, the guides
166 can be loose enough to prevent binding in any conceivable circumstance.

168 One advantage of this design is that the optics are never touched, preserving
the optical alignment and the mirror surface for good retro-reflections. The main
170 disadvantage is that the rails are somewhat difficult to design, or align. A rail-
less system is shown in FIG. 17, where magnets act through the glass to move
172 the modulator.

174 Taking advantage of the curved back surface, a few strong magnets can be
176 positioned anywhere on the surface with a few opposing cables. A pair of rare
178 earth magnets only 19 mm diameter can easily work through a substrate 50 mm
180 thick, as long as the friction is not too large. The modulator board would be
supported over the mirror by small rolling sapphire spheres or Teflon pads. Even
if the mirror were a first surface design, durable hard-coated dielectric mirrors
can easily survive this type of friction.

182 The advantage of this type of design is that it is more reliable. The primary
disadvantage is the potential problem of scratches appearing on the reflective
184 surface. Since all the mechanical parts are on the back side, however, the
optical chamber can be assembled and sealed in a clean room, preserving the
186 optical cleanliness. The tradeoffs between these motion control choices will be
studied in more detail once the optical design has been finalized, in case that
188 design discourages the Mangin mirror approach.

190 The modulator board is shown with no direct connections to signal or power
supplies. In either option presented so far, a thin flexible cable could be used to
192 connect the modulator board, or even a fiber optic cable. A flexible service loop
could be located near the chamber walls, and springs could be used to keep the
194 slack from getting in the way of the optical beam. In Applicants' preferred
approach, however, Applicants are presenting a wireless link to provide both
196 power and signal. This approach seems reasonable, especially since the
requirements over such a short range seem simple to implement. This wireless
198 option reduces risk and enhances reliability by reducing the number of moving or
flexible components. The wireless transmitter is shown at 50 in FIG. 18 as the
200 box in the upper left corner.

202 Getting a 45 MHz signal to the board is relatively simple, using a diffused laser
source as a signal transmitter. A quick calculation shows that if a 3 mW-laser
204 at 850 nm floods the optical chamber, a 10 mm² silicon detector will pick up

about 1 microwatt of laser power, or about 1000 times its noise level. This is
206 more than enough margin to assure error-free signal transmission.

208 Inductive power coupling is used in a wide variety of consumer goods to provide
power to electric razors and toothbrushes, as well as computer accessories.

210 Normally, the wireless component runs on batteries that are kept charged while
the unit is docked to the charging station. Since the modulator here may be

212 turned on for a long period, we are assuming that our power requirements are
continuous. The modulator board would only have a small capacitor storage cell

214 that would operate the modulator for perhaps one or two seconds. This would
reduce weight on the board, and by eliminating batteries, would enhance

216 reliability. Power transfer over the entire range of the modulator motion is
inefficient compared to close-coupled transfers, but the power requirements are

218 expected to be so small that this inefficiency is not important.

220 An alternative to inductive coupling is using a solar cell on the board that picks
up light from a bright LED. This is relatively inefficient because the light must

222 be spread all across the field of regard, and only a small fraction can be
captured. This light might also cause problems for the communication signal,

224 although with appropriate filters, this could be a small effect. A few bright
LEDs could provide 10 microwatts from a 40 mm^2 solar cell.

226

228

230

232

234

Amendment to the Drawings

Please amend the drawings by replacing drawing sheet 6, containing FIGS. 12A through 14B, with the attached drawing sheet with revised FIGS. 12A through 15. The changes are made to re-number the drawings to correct the error of not having a FIG. 15 in the filed application. An annotated version of drawing sheet 6 is also attached.